

Plagiarism - How to Use and Read it

Arvind Janardhan Varkar^{1,2}, Sachin Kale³, Ashok Shyam⁴, Sumedha Shinde²

Learning Point of the Article:

Learning what plagiarism is, how to avoid it, how to detect it using modern plagiarism software, and how to read the report is vital to develop authentic, unique, and plagiarism-free research articles, which assist in advancing the cause of medical and academic research in a fair and transparent manner.

Abstract

Academic integrity is crucial in orthopedic surgery research, as patient care relies on the legitimacy and trustworthiness of published research. Plagiarism, or the unauthorized use of intellectual property without proper attribution, jeopardizes musculoskeletal medicine advancement and public trust. The advent of digital publishing platforms, combined with rising priority for academic production, has resulted in both purposeful and inadvertent transgressions of academic integrity norms. Modern plagiarism detection software is crucial for maintaining publication standards, but many researchers lack a comprehensive understanding of effective detection, analysis, and remediation techniques. Effective plagiarism prevention has a direct influence on patient safety, encourages innovation, and preserves the reputation of orthopedic literature in the global medical community.

Keywords: plagiarism, academic, journals, similarity score

Introduction

Plagiarism is a grave academic and moral breach that involves using someone else's research, work, ideas, or creative work without proper acknowledgement and passing it off as one's own. It erodes the credibility of science and intellectual honesty [1].

The editorial article entails understanding the types of plagiarism, its effects on medical research and researchers, methods to detect plagiarism, and methods to avoid it.

Diagnosing a disease is the first step in treatment. So let's try to comprehend all the different types of plagiarism.

Types of Plagiarism

1. Direct plagiarism: Copying material verbatim without quote marks or citation. For example, duplicating a passage from a journal paper without proper attribution. This is fairly prevalent among rookie researchers, although plagiarism tools readily detect it [2].
2. Self-plagiarism: Reusing previously submitted material without permission or acknowledgement of earlier use. An example is submitting the identical research proposal to two separate courses without disclosing it. This style of study is just recycling previous research and presenting it as fresh information. It may raise publishing numbers quickly, but it does

Access this article online

Website:
www.jocr.co.in

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.13107/jocr.2025.v15.i12.6434>

Author's Photo Gallery



Dr. Arvind Janardhan Varkar



Dr. Sachin Kale



Dr. Ashok Shyam



Dr. Sumedha Shinde

¹Department of Orthopaedics, Spine Surgery Unit, Fortis Hiranandani Hospital, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India,

²Department of Orthopaedics, MGM Medical College, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India,

³Department of Orthopaedics, D.Y. Patil School of Medicine and Hospital, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

⁴Department of Orthopedic, Sancheti Institute for Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Address of Correspondence:

Dr. Arvind Janardhan Varkar,

Department of Orthopaedics, Spine Surgery Unit, Fortis Hiranandani Hospital, Vashi, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India, Department of Orthopaedics, MGM Medical College, Nerul, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

E-mail: vatkararvind@gmail.com

Submitted: 25/09/2025; Review: 15/10/2025; Accepted: November 2025; Published: December 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13107/jocr.2025.v15.i12.6434>

© The Author(s). 2025 Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



not contribute much to academic development [3].

3. Mosaic plagiarism: Patching together phrases or ideas from many sources without adequate attribution, even if the substance has been rephrased, is mosaic plagiarism. Many orthopedic operations have become increasingly complicated, requiring multiple stages. Mosaic plagiarism occurs when several treatments or surgical methods are used from past research and claimed to be wholly unique without proper credit to previous researchers [4].

4. Paraphrasing plagiarism: Rewriting someone else's work in your own words while forgetting to acknowledge the source. For example, recent paraphrasing programs with word jugglers can assist in preparing a document to evade detection by plagiarism software; however, claiming work as your own without crediting earlier researchers constitutes plagiarism [5].

5. Accidental plagiarism: The field of research and medical discovery is constantly evolving, with thousands of ideas being published each day, especially by students. Unintentional plagiarism can occur when sources are cited due to ignorance or carelessness. Despite being inadvertent, it is nonetheless deemed immoral [6].

Consequences of Plagiarism

Plagiarism claims, if proven accurate, may be a major blow to the academic careers of academics and institutes alike. Here are some of the possible outcomes for researchers and institutes.

1. Academic penalties may include demoted grades, suspension, or expulsion from a program, such as a PhD program.
2. Professional consequences: Plagiarism can result in damage to one's reputation, rejection and withdrawal of publications, and potentially, legal action.
3. Ethical implications: It significantly undermines faith in research and jeopardizes intellectual integrity [7].
4. Institutional actions: Universities lose academic credibility if their names are connected with plagiarism. There may be action taken by regulatory authorities, such as the National Assessment and Accreditation Council or the Indian Council of Medical Research, on such universities. Universities must implement disciplinary sanctions in accordance with their academic integrity policies [8,9].

How to Detect Plagiarism with Software like DrillBit

Plagiarism detection software, such as DrillBit and Turnitin, is essential for spotting unoriginal content in academic works. These programs find similarities by comparing supplied documents to huge databases of academic papers, websites, books, and previously submitted student work [10].

Steps to review plagiarism using Turnitin or DrillBit

1. Preparing the document:

- a. Make sure your document is in a compatible format (e.g., PDF, Word, or plain text) as specified by the tool
- b. Non-text items, such as tables, photos, or code snippets, should be excluded unless expressly required by the tool to ensure proper processing
- c. Confirm submission guidelines with your institution, who provide the software for use, such as eliminating bibliographies or cited material.

2. Submitting the document

Access the platform (Turnitin or DrillBit) through its portal or your university's learning management system. Use the submission instructions to upload the proper format file.

If your educational institution allows it, pick parameters such as excluding references, quoted material, or minor matches (e.g., phrases under five words).

3. Generating the plagiarism report

The program often generates a report within minutes, but larger documents may take longer. The report will show a similarity score, which represents the percentage of text that matches existing sources. The report also highlights matched text and provides links to the sources. Removes properly documented quotes or references, which should not be considered plagiarism [11].

How to Analyze the Plagiarism Report

What the mind does not know cannot be seen. Hence, to distinguish between real matches and possible plagiarism, one must know how to analyze a plagiarism report carefully.

Key components of a plagiarism report

Overall similarity score

1. Low (0–10%): Generally considered acceptable, often indicating common phrases or properly cited material
2. Moderate (11–25%): Requires evaluation to see whether matches are correctly cited or just coincidental, such as standard language in a given field
3. High (>25%): Raises serious problems and needs a thorough study, which may indicate incorrect paraphrase or uncited information [12].

What to view the plagiarism report

- a. Source matches: The report contains individual sources (e.g., journal articles, websites) and their percentage contribution to the total similarity score
- b. Highlighted text: Matched text is highlighted and frequently color-coded (e.g., Turnitin uses blue, green, or red) to signify various sources or severity

c. Exclusion details: The report should state if references, quotations, or minor matches were eliminated from the score.

How to interpret the report

- Check quoted material: Ensure that any direct quotes are enclosed in quotation marks and correctly cited. If properly attributed, these should not be considered plagiarism.
- Review paraphrased content: Even if you rewrite someone else's work in your own terms, always cite the original source. High similarity in paraphrased areas could indicate mosaic plagiarism.
- Evaluate common knowledge: Terms related to your field or commonly known facts (e.g., "Photosynthesis converts light energy into chemical energy") may appear as matches, but they are rarely deemed plagiarism unless specifically copied.
- Examine source types: Academic publications are more relevant than general websites, which may just contain common phrases [13].

Red flags to watch for

- Large blocks of text match a single source without a proper citation
- Matches to student papers, which could indicate self-plagiarism or unauthorized collaboration
- High similarity in sections that are expected to contain original analysis, such as the discussion or conclusion [14].

How to Avoid Plagiarism

Avoiding plagiarism requires proactive strategies and a strong awareness of ethical research practices.

Importance of referencing

Referencing is the technique of citing the sources of ideas, data, or direct quotes utilized in your writing. It fulfills several critical purposes:

- Credit to the original authors: It formally acknowledges the creative contributions of others
- Avoid plagiarism: Proper citation is the fundamental approach for ensuring ethical usage of materials
- Support arguments: References provide evidence and context for your claims, which strengthen your research
- Enable verification: This allows readers to easily find and verify the sources you've used
- Scholarship: It demonstrates your connection with existing literature and knowledge of the area [15].

Common referencing styles

Various referencing styles are utilized across the disciplines:

- American Psychological Association (APA): A term

commonly used in the social sciences and biomedical journals.

- The Modern Language Association (MLA) is primarily used in the humanities.
- Chicago/Turabian provides both notes-bibliography and author-date systems.
- Harvard: Similar to APA, but extensively used in many disciplines.
- Vancouver: A numerical system widely utilized in medical science and biomedical periodicals.
- Researchers need to confirm the preferred style for their discipline with their supervisors or target journal [16].

How to reference correctly

Correct referencing involves both in-text citations and a comprehensive reference list or bibliography.

In-text citations:

- For direct quotes, use quotation marks and include the author, year, and page number.
- When paraphrasing, always cite the author and year, even if the ideas are rephrased in your own words.
- For multiple authors, specific guidelines exist (e.g., in APA, "et al." is used for three or more authors).

Reference List/Bibliography: This section includes full details of all sources cited in your work. The format will depend on the chosen style (e.g., APA: Author, A. A. (Year). Title. Publisher.), and consistency in formatting (punctuation, italics) is essential.

Tools for referencing

Various tools can assist researchers in managing and generating references:

- Reference management software: Examples include EndNote, Zotero, and Mendeley. These tools help researchers navigate different referencing style formats
- Online generators: Such as Cite This For Me and BibMe.
- University resources: Many universities, like MGM University, provide guides or workshops on referencing.

Strategies to avoid plagiarism

- Understand your sources: Read and summarize material in your own words to ensure comprehension.
- Cite while writing: Keep a meticulous track of all sources during the drafting process to avoid accidental omission.
- Use plagiarism detection tools: Tools such as Turnitin or Grammarly can help identify potential unintentional plagiarism in drafts.
- Paraphrase properly: When rewriting ideas, always use your own style and always cite the source.
- Seek guidance: Consult supervisors or university writing

centers for any clarification needed regarding citation practices [17].

Common pitfalls to avoid

- Assuming common knowledge does not require citation, even historical facts may need a source in academic writing
- Over-reliance on a single source without diversifying your literature
- Poor note-taking can lead to confusion between your original ideas and sourced material.

Related ethical considerations in research

Beyond plagiarism, responsible conduct of research also includes:

- Authorship: Only individuals who have made significant contributions should be included as co-authors.
- Data integrity: Fabricating or falsifying data is another form of academic misconduct that must be avoided.
- Cultural sensitivity: Respecting intellectual contributions from diverse cultural or indigenous knowledge systems is important.
- Open access and fair use: Understanding copyright laws and obtaining necessary permissions for using copyrighted material.

By adhering to these principles and utilizing available resources, researchers can uphold academic integrity and ensure their work is credible and original.

To detect plagiarism using software like DrillBit, analyze the plagiarism report, and reduce plagiarism, PhD students should follow a systematic process involving preparation, submission, detailed analysis, and strategic revision.

Steps to Reduce Plagiarism

If a plagiarism report identifies issues, several proactive strategies can be employed to reduce the similarity score and ensure originality [17, 18].

Review and revise matched content

- Proper citation: Add any missing citations for paraphrased or quoted material, adhering to the appropriate referencing style (e.g., APA, MLA) as per your university's guidelines.
- Paraphrase effectively: Rewrite matched sections in your own distinct phrasing while preserving the original meaning. Always cite the source. For example, if the original is "Machine learning algorithms improve prediction accuracy by analyzing large datasets," a proper paraphrase would be "By processing extensive data, machine learning models enhance the precision of predictions."
- Use quotation marks: For any verbatim text, enclose it in quotation marks and provide a precise citation, including page

numbers if applicable.

Strengthen original contributions

- Add analysis: Incorporate your own unique insights, interpretations, or critiques to distinguish your work from the source material
- Diversify sources: Avoid over-reliance on a single source, as this can lead to an inflated similarity score. Utilize multiple sources to support your arguments comprehensively
- Synthesize ideas: Combine information and perspectives from various sources with your own original thoughts to create a coherent and unique narrative.

Utilize reference management tools

- Employ software such as Zotero, Mendeley, or EndNote to effectively organize your sources and automatically generate accurate citations, thereby minimizing errors
- Consult style manuals (e.g., APA 7th Edition) or university resources to ensure consistent and accurate formatting.

Re-run the plagiarism check

- After making revisions, resubmit your document to DrillBit or Turnitin to confirm that the similarity score has decreased to an acceptable level.
- Pay particular attention to sections that still show high matches and revise them further as needed.

Seek feedback

- Share your revised draft with your PhD supervisor or trusted peers to obtain their input on proper citation and the overall originality of your work.
- Utilize university writing support services, if available (e.g., at MGM University), for guidance on effective paraphrasing and referencing.

Best Practices for Avoiding Plagiarism Proactively:

- Take detailed notes: When conducting research, meticulously record full source details and clearly distinguish between direct quotes, paraphrased material, and your own original ideas.
- Cite during drafting: Integrate citations as you write to prevent inadvertently omitting sources later.
- Understand common knowledge: Familiarize yourself with what constitutes common knowledge within your specific field to avoid unnecessary citations for widely accepted facts or principles.
- Use plagiarism tools proactively: Run drafts through detection software such as Turnitin or DrillBit before the final submission to identify and rectify any potential issues early.
- Stay updated on guidelines: Adhere to your institution's (e.g., MGM University's) academic integrity policies and specific referencing requirements.



By implementing these strategies, researchers can maintain academic integrity, ensure the originality of their work, and enhance its overall credibility.

Conclusion

Plagiarism is an academic fraud. There are ample tools available

to detect it. Simple techniques like writing in your own words and adding your perspective and explanation with appropriate citation helps to decrease risk of plagiarism. Technique of using plagiarism software like drillbit, helps to detect plagiarism and correct it.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient has given the consent for his/ her images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient understands that his/ her names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of interest: Nil **Source of support:** None

References

- Helgesson G, Eriksson S. Plagiarism in research. *Med Health Care Philos* 2015;18:91-101.
- Ahmed S, Anirvan P. The true meaning of plagiarism. *Indian J Rheumatol* 2020;15:155-8.
- Bretag T, Mahmud S. Self-plagiarism or appropriate textual re-use? *J Acad Ethics* 2009;7:193-205.
- Das N, Panjabi M. Plagiarism: Why is it such a big issue for medical writers? *Perspect Clin Res* 2011;2:67-71.
- Sánchez-Vega F, Villatoro-Tello E, Montes-Y-Gómez M, Rosso P, Stamatas E, Villaseñor-Pineda L. Paraphrase plagiarism identification with character-level features. *Pattern Anal Appl* 2019;22:669-81.
- Bruijns SR, Beyene T, Azazh A, Tupesis JP. Accidental similarity. *Afr J Emerg Med* 2017;7:145-6.
- Traniello JF, Bakker TC. Intellectual theft: Pitfalls and consequences of plagiarism. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 2016;70:1789-91.
- Park C. Rebels without a clause: Towards an institutional framework for dealing with plagiarism by students. *J Furth High Educ* 2004;28:291-306.
- Varghese NV. Quality assurance and academic integrity in higher education in India. In: *Second Handbook of Academic Integrity*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland; 2024. p. 935-51. Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-031-54144-5_123. [Last accessed on 2025 Aug 24].
- Dahl S. Turnitin®. *Act Learn High Educ* 2007;8:173-91.
- One Moment, Please. Available from: <https://www.drillbitplagiarism.com/faq> [Last accessed on 2025 Aug 24].
- Stein B, Lipka N, Prettenhofer P. Intrinsic plagiarism analysis. *Lang Resour Eval* 2011;45:63-82.
- Bertram Gallant T, Picciotto M, Bozinovic G, Tour E. Plagiarism or not? Investigation of Turnitin®-detected similarity hits in biology laboratory reports. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ* 2019;47:370-9.
- Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3200/JOEB.79.3.186-190>
- Vardi I. Developing students' referencing skills: A matter of plagiarism, punishment and morality or of learning to write critically? *High Educ Res Dev* 2012;31:921-30.
- Srivastava M. Referencing and referencing styles. *ShodhKosh J Vis Per Arts* 2024;5:976-80.
- Stander M. Strategies to help university students avoid plagiarism: A focus on translation as an intervention strategy. *J Furth High Educ* 2020;44:156-69.
- Peeran SW, Ahmed AM, Mugrabi MH, Peeran SA. Simple steps to avoid plagiarism and improve scientific writing. *Libyan J Med* 2013;8:21825.

Conflict of Interest: Nil
Source of Support: Nil

Consent: The authors confirm that informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this article

How to Cite this Article

Vatkar AJ, Kale S, Shyam A, Shinde S. Plagiarism - How to Use and Read it. *Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports* 2025 December;15(12):04-08.

