Functional and Radiological Outcome of Meniscal Repair and Conservative Management for Medial Meniscal Root Tear - A **Prospective Comparative Study**

K V Arun Kumar¹, Krishnavel Thavasianantham¹, Prashanth Pandian¹, Haemanath Pandian¹, E Pradeep¹, Sheik Mohideen¹

Learning Point of the Article:

This study highlights the importance of surgical intervention in appropriate candidates with medial meniscal root tear to prevent progression to osteoarthritis.

Introduction: To sustain hoop stress, prevent extrusion, and maintaining the function of the meniscus, the posterior root attachment point of the medial meniscus is essential. The purpose of this study is to determine the functional and radiological outcome of conservative therapy and meniscal repair for medial meniscal root tears.

Materials and Methods: Prospective evaluations were performed on forty individuals who confirmed a diagnosis of Medial meniscus root tear (MMRT). Among the 40 patients, 20 underwent arthroscopic meniscal repair and 20 underwent conservative management and followed up a period of 2 years. Functional outcome between two groups was measured using Tegner Lysholm score and IKDC score. Radiological outcome was measured using X-rays (medial joint space width, joint space narrowing, K-L grade).

Results: Both group showed improvement in Lysholm score and IKDC. When comparing the clinical outcomes between both the groups, Group A showed significantly better outcome (P = 0.02). About 60% of patients in Group A and all patients in Group B showed K-L grade progression. Of the patients in Group A, 5% had significant K-L grade progression and 10% had severe joint space constriction. Of the patients in Group B, 80% had significant K-L grade progression and 25% had severe joint space constriction. Meniscal repair had considerably superior outcomes for radiologic parameters than conservative care (P < 0.01) when we examined the final results of Groups A and B.

Conclusion: The MMRT repair group had better functional and radiological outcome than the conservative treatment group. Although treatment did not entirely stop the advancement of arthrosis, meniscal repair decreased the rate of arthritic changes when compared to the conservative group.

Keywords: Knee, meniscal root tear, arthroscopic repair, meniscal root repair, pull-out repair, conservative management.

Introduction

The fundamental functions of the meniscus include stabilization, proprioception, load distribution, stress absorption, and lubrication [1]. Load distribution is one of the important roles to prevent deterioration of articular cartilage. tear that occurs within 5 mm of the posterior bony attachment of

This occurs due to the collagen fibers that are arranged circumferentially; these fibers have a propensity to stretch centrifugally while under-weight, resulting in hoop tension [2].

The definition of a medial meniscal root tear (MMRT) is a radial



DOI: https://doi.org/10.13107/jocr.2024.v14.i12.5080

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncsa/4.0/, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms

© 2024 Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports Published by Indian Orthopaedic Research Group





Figure 1: Intraoperative meniscal root repair using transosseous pullout technique.

the medial meniscus. The posterior bony attachment of the medial meniscus is situated between the PCL's insertion and the medial tibial spine as well as the articular border of the posteromedial tibial plateau [3,4].

Through the meniscal roots, the meniscus is securely fixed to the tibial plateau [5, 6]. The containment of this hoop tension results in a balanced distribution of axial-loading forces within the knee joint, protecting the articular cartilage [1]. Asians are more prone to have radial tears at the posterior horn of the medial meniscus, which is the most common site of meniscal injury [7]. The hoop tension, which is essential for preventing meniscal extrusion, may change depending on the nature of the tear, which is frequently depicted as a full transection [5].







Figure 2: (a) Pre- and (b) Follow-up X-ray of patient underwent meniscal repair.

According to biomechanical research, MMRT has comparable effects to complete meniscectomy, which causes the medial compartment of the knee joint to develop degenerative arthritis [9-11]. As with other radial meniscal tears, MMRT has traditionally been treated with a straightforward meniscectomy; however, this did not stop the osteoarthritis from progressing [8]. Recent biomechanical studies indicate that corrected MMRT brought the peak contact pressure back to a level that was close to normal [9-12]. In biomechanical research, numerous techniques for repairing MMRT have been reported [13-16], and clinical trials are being carried out to determine the outcomes of MMRT repair [17,18]. These findings, however, are rather ambiguous, and several studies are being conducted to identify the MMRT repair prognostic variables [19]. In addition, conservative therapy might not be beneficial based on biomechanical outcomes [9, 20].

Factors such as age, gender, body mass index, and cultural environment were associated with a high likelihood of negative outcome [21]. There are, however, a few publications on prognostic factors linked to subpar clinical outcomes following MMRT repair [19]. In this study, the functional and radiological outcomes of conservative therapy and pull-out repair of the MMRT are compared.

Materials and Methods

Prospective evaluations were performed on 40 individuals who confirmed a diagnosis of MMRT radiologically. Inclusion criteria were Age between 25 and 60 years, Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 1 and 2, onset of symptoms <6 months, and radiologically confirmed meniscal root tear. The patient who underwent arthroscopic meniscal root repair (Transosseous



Data	Group A	Group B
Gender		
Male	7	9
Female	13	11
Age (mean years SD)	55.2±4.54	55.5±4.77
Affected side		
Right	14	12
Left	6	8

Table 1: Demographic data of the study population.

suture pull-out technique) was termed as Group A and the patient treated conservativity (pain control, strengthening exercise, and physical therapy) was termed as Group B. Functional and radiological outcome were recorded pre- and postoperatively for 2 years.

Treatment methods

Group-A

Under spinal anesthesia, the patient was placed in the supine position. Using standard anteromedial and anterolateral knee arthroscopic portal diagnostic arthroscopy was done. Medial meniscal root tear was confirmed. Two simple sutures were placed in the posterior horn with a gap of 5 mm. Footprint of meniscal root was prepared, and articular cartilage was removed from the footprint. Transosseous tibial tunnel was created using a PCL guide. Guide wire was retrieved from the footprint of meniscal root. Sutures were then passed through the tunnel and pulled through the tibia and fixed to the anterior tibia using an endobutton. Stability of the repair was checked using the probe, and the position of the fixation was confirmed (Fig. 1). Closure was done. Postoperatively, the patient was mobilized nonweight-bearing for period of 4 weeks. Gradual tolerated weightbearing was started from 5 weeks post-operative.

Group B

The patient was managed conservatively with analgesics, quadriceps strengthening exercise, wax bath/IFT to knee, and lifestyle modifications such as avoiding stair climbing, cross-leg sitting, and squatting.

Statistical analysis

The demographic data within the groups were compared using

Group A	Pre-operative	Post-operative	P-value
Lyshome	53.8±9.3	81.4±12.4	0.01
IKDC	41.1±7.4	70.7±12.3	0.01
Width (mm)	4.6±1.2	4.0±1.1	0.01
KL-0	6	0	
KL-1	8	7	
KL-2	6	10	
KL-3	0	3	
KL-4	0	0	

Table 2: Pre and post op scoring of meniscal repair group.

the Chi-square test and expressed as mean (±standard deviation). The Mann–Whitney test was used to compare the groups, while the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare within the groups. This statistical test was two-tailed, with a significance threshold of P < 0.05. Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) Version 26 was used for all statistical analyses (IBM, SPSS Statistics, Armonk NY, USA)

Results

In Group A, the mean age was 55.2 ± 4.54 with 7 males and 13 females. In Group B, the mean age was 55.5 ± 4.77 with 9 males and 11 females (Table 1). The pre-operative clinical and demographic features of Groups A and B did not have statistically significant difference.

Functional outcome

Both the groups showed improvement in Lysholm score and IKDC score during follow-up.

In Group A, Lysholm score improved from 53.8 ± 9.3 (preoperative) to 81.4 ± 12.4 (post-operative) (P < 0.01) and IKDC score improved from 41.1 ± 7.4 (pre-operative) to 70.7 ± 12.3 (post-operative) (P < 0.01) (Table 2).

In Group B, Lysholm score improved from 50.2 ± 8.2 (pre-op) to 61.9 ± 22.7 (post-operative) (P = 0.035) and IKDC score improved from 36.4 ± 7.2 (pre-operative) to 47.2 ± 21.5 (post-operative) (P = 0.030) (Table 3).

When comparing the clinical outcomes between both the groups, Group A showed significantly better outcome (P = 0.02) (Table 4).

Radiological outcome



Group B	Pre-operative	Post-operative	P-value
Lyshome	50.2±8.2	61.9±22.7	0.035
IKDC	36.4±7.2	47.2±21.5	0.03
Width (mm)	4.4±1.2	2.8±1.1	0.01
KL-0	5	0	
KL-1	9	3	
KL-2	6	7	
KL-3	0	8	
KL-4	0	2	

Table 3: Pre- and post-operative scoring of conservative group.

K-L grade progression was seen in 60% of individuals in group A (12/20) and in 100% of patients in Group B (20/20). At the end follow-up, both groups' medial joint space width and K-L grade were significantly lower (Group A P < 0.01, Group B < 0.01) (Table 2).

About 10% of the patients (2 out of 20) in Group A exhibited significant joint space narrowing (>2 mm), and 5% (1 out of 20) had severe K-L grade progression (>2 grades). 25 percent of the patients in Group B (5 out of 20) had significant joint space narrowing (>2 mm), and 80 percent (16 out of 20) had severe K-L grade progression (>2 grades) (Table 3).

Meniscal repair had substantially superior outcomes for radiologic measures than conservative therapy when we examined the end results of Groups A and B (P < 0.01) (Table 4).

In addition, osteoarthritis development was markedly slowed in the meniscal repair group (Fig. 2 and 3).

Discussion

When there is a loss of integrity in one of the root ligaments, the meniscus circumferential collagen fibers lose their continuity, which finally results in a loss of hoop tension [5, 10, 11]. This

Data	Group A	Group B	P-value
Lyshome	81.4±12.4	61.9±22.7	0.02
IKDC	70.7±12.3	47.2±21.5	0.01
Width	4.0±1.1	2.8±1.1	0.01
JSN	0.7±0.5	2.4±1.3	0.01
JSN >2MM n (%)	2 (10)	5 (25)	0.01
KL progression n (%)	12 (60)	20 (100)	0.05
KL progression >2 Grade n (%)	1 (5)	16 (80)	0.01

Table 4: Post-operative scoring of Meniscal repair group versus conservative group.

meniscus issue contributes to meniscal extrusion, which causes gradual cartilage degradation [22]. As opposed to the other meniscal roots, the posterior root of the medial meniscus is very brittle and more prone to injury [6, 23]. The one probable factor contributing to the high frequency of MMRT in Asian cultures is the cultural mandate to sit with hyper flexed knees. Far Eastern people than the rest of the globe have posterior root tear of the medial meniscus significantly more frequently [8, 23].

The ability of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to identifying a tear of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus has been shown to be highly sensitive in earlier investigations [24, 25]. On MRI, Magee et al [7]. found only 68% sensitivity for radial tears, while Bin et al. [8] found that the sensitivity for posterior root tears of the medial meniscus was 66.3%.

According to reports from Lee et al. [18] and Moon et al. [19], the average Lysholm knee score saw an improvement from 57.0 to 93.1 and 48.3 \pm 13.7 to 83.2 \pm 16.1 (P < 0.001), respectively [3, 18, 19]. In addition, Moon et al. observed that a worse clinical result of MMRT repair was shown to be independently associated with extensive cartilage deterioration (Outerbridge Grade III or IV).

The primary source of mechanical discomfort in patients with a posterior root tears of the medial meniscus, including those who were old, was successfully treated with a partial meniscectomy by Bin et al. [8]. They came to the conclusion that due to the age-related degeneration of meniscal tissue and the poor healing capacity of a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus, restoration is nearly impossible.

Arthroscopy-guided MMRT repair is a commonly utilized procedure for re-establishing hoop tension and preventing osteoarthritis. Nowadays, there are several surgical techniques available for repairing a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus [13-15]. Considering two factors, the first being the posterior root of the meniscus is an enthesis with strong healing potential and that the posterior horn of the medial meniscus has an adequate vascular supply, Double bundle transosseous pullout suture techniques were previously reported by Ahn et al. [14] as a means of anchoring the posterior horn. Kim et al. have also talked about the transosseous technique, which uses a Caspari suture hook and shuttle through the meniscus's anteromedial portal [16]. This method helps to enhance healing by preventing red-red zone tissues from easily rupturing. A method of all-inside repair using metal suture anchors was described by Choi et al. in their study [27]. A pullout repair procedure employing a transosseous tunnel and fastening with a button at the anterior tibial cortex was proposed by Petersen and Zantop [28].

Much like in previous research [8, 18], the majority of patients

Conclusion

posteromedial side of the knee fairly rapidly, probably squatting The study Group A which has MMRT pull-out repair had better functional and radiological outcome than the conservative biomechanical effects of the medial meniscus' posterior root tear treatment group. Meniscal repair aided the slowing of arthritic changes compared with conservative group; however, it did not prevent the progression of arthrosis completely.

Clinical Message

For patients with medial meniscal root tears, surgical repair should be considered the preferred treatment option, especially in those who are younger and active, as it provides superior functional recovery and helps preserve joint health. Conservative management may be appropriate for select patients with lower activity levels or significant surgical risks, but it often results in poorer long-term outcomes, including increased risk of osteoarthritis.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient has given the consent for his/ her images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient understands that his/ her names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of interest: Nil Source of support: None

in our group developed mechanical pain around the

or kneeling was the specific motion that caused this pain. The

were assessed by Allaire et al. [9]. They showed that a posterior

root tear of the medial meniscus causes considerable alterations

in the contact pressure and the kinematics of the knee joint. Exercise is one of the most effective treatments for osteoarthritis and is crucial for reducing knee pain, improving muscular

strength and endurance, increasing activity level, and improving

quality of life in osteoarthritis patients [28, 29]. According to one

study, performing physical activity 3 times per week for 4 months

can enhance knee function by more than 35% [28]. We think that

our patients' functional abilities improved as a result of physical

activity. However, it is not apparent if there were any pain

alleviation results from using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory

drugs, physical exercise, or both.

1. Bessette GC. The meniscus. Orthopedics 1992;15:35-42.

2. Petersen W, Tillmann B. Collagenous fibril texture of the human knee joint menisci. Anat Embryol (Berl) 1998;197:317-324.

3. Johnson DL, Swenson TM, Livesay GA, Aizawa H, Fu FH, Harner CD. Insertion-site anatomy of the human menisci: gross, arthroscopic, and topographical anatomy as a basis for meniscal transplantation. Arthroscopy 1995;11:386-394.

4 Shino K, Hamada M, Mitsuoka T, Kinoshita H, Toritsuka Y. Arthroscopic repair for a flap tear of the posterior horn of the lateral meniscus adjacent to its tibial insertion. Arthroscopy 1995;11:495-498.

5. Petersen W, Forkel P, Feucht MJ, Zantop T, Imhoff AB, Brucker PU. Posterior root tear of the medial and lateral meniscus. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2014;134:237–255.

6. Vedi V, Williams A, Tennant SJ, Spouse E, Hunt DM, Gedroyc WM. Meniscal movement. An in vivo study using dynamic MRI. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1991;81:37-41.

7. Magee T, Shapiro M, Williams D. MR accuracyand arthroscopic incidence of meniscal radialtears. Skeletal Radiol 2002; 31:686-689.

8. Bin SI, Kim JM, Shin SJ. Radial tears of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus. Arthroscopy 2004;20:373-378.

9. Allaire R, Muriuki M, Gilbertson L, Harner CD. Biomechanical consequences of a tear of the posterior root of the medial meniscus. Similar to total meniscectomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2008;90:1922–1931.

10.Forkel P, Herbort M, Schulze M, Rosenbaum D, Kirstein L, Raschke M, Petersen W. Biomechanical consequences of a posterior root tear of the lateral meniscus: stabilizing effect of the meniscofemoral ligament. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2013;133:621-626.

11. Forkel P, Herbort M, Sprenker F, Metzlaff S, Raschke M, Petersen W. The biomechanical effect of a lateral meniscus posterior root tear with and without damage to the meniscofemoral ligament: efficacy of different repair techniques. Arthrosc J Arthros Relat Surg 2014;30:833-840.

12. Kim JG, Lee YS, Bae TS, Ha JK, Lee DH, Kim YJ, Ra HJ. Tibiofemoral contact mechanics following posterior root of medial meniscus tear, repair, meniscectomy, and allograft transplantation. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2012;21:2121-2125.



13. Ahn JH, Kim SH, Yoo JC, Wang JH. All-inside suture technique using two posteromedial portals in a medial meniscus posterior horn tear. Arthroscopy 2004;20:101–108.

14. Ahn JH, Wang JH, Lim HC, Bae JH, Park JS, Yoo JC, Shyam AK. Double transosseous pull out suture technique for transection of posterior horn of medial meniscus. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2009;129:387–392.

15. Ahn JH, Wang JH, Yoo JC, Noh HK, Park JH. A pull out suture for transection of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus: using a posterior trans-septal portal. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2007;15:1510–1513.

16. Kim YM, Rhee KJ, Lee JK, Hwang DS, Yang JY, Kim SJ. Arthroscopic pullout repair of a complete radial tear of the tibial attachment site of the medial meniscus posterior horn. Arthroscopy 2006;22(795):e791–e794.

17. Kim SB, Ha JK, Lee SW, Kim DW, Shim JC, Kim JG, Lee MY. Medial meniscus root tear refixation: comparison of clinical, radiologic, and arthroscopic findings with medial meniscectomy. Arthroscopy 2011;27:346–354.

18. Lee JH, Lim YJ, Kim KB, Kim KH, Song JH. Arthroscopic pullout suture repair of posterior root tear of the medial meniscus: radiographic and clinical results with a 2-year followup. Arthroscopy 2009;25:951–958.

19. Moon HK, Koh YG, Kim YC, Park YS, Jo SB, Kwon SK. Prognostic factors of arthroscopic pull-out repair for a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus. Am J Sports Med 2012;40:1138–1143.

20. Lerer DB, Umans HR, Hu MX, Jones MH. The role of meniscal root pathology and radial meniscal tear in medial meniscal extrusion. Skeletal Radiol 2004;33:569-574.

21. Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2011;25:801–814.

22. Jones AO, Houang MT, Low RS, Wood DG. Medial meniscus posterior root attachment injury and degeneration: MRI findings. Australas Radiol 2006;50:306–313.

23. Shepard MF, Hunter DM, Davies MR, Shapiro MS, Seeger LL. The clinical significance of anterior horn meniscal tears diagnosed on magnetic resonance images. Am J Sports Med 2002;30:189–192.

24. Rennie WJ, Finlay DB. Meniscal extrusion in young athletes: associated knee joint abnormalities. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006;186:791–794.

25. Lee SY, Jee WH, Kim JM. Radial tear of the medial meniscal root: reliability and accuracy of MRI for diagnosis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008;191:81–85.

26. Van Dyck P, Gielen J, D'Anvers J, Vanhoenacker F, Dossche L, Van Gestel J, Parizel PM. MR diagnosis of meniscal tears of the knee: analysis of error patterns. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2007;127:849–854.

27. Choi NH, Son KM, Victoroff BN. Arthroscopic all-inside repair for a tear of posterior root of the medial meniscus: a technical note. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2008;16:891–893.

28. Petersen W, Zantop T. Avulsion injury to the posterior horn of the lateral meniscus. Technique for arthroscopic refixation. Unfallchirurg 2006;109:984-987.

29. Allaire R, Muriuki M, Gilbertson L, Harner CD. Biomechanical consequences of a tear of the posterior root of the medial meniscus. Similar to total meniscectomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2008;90:1922–1931.

30. Herrlin S, Hallander M, Wange P, Weidenhielm L, Werner S. Arthroscopic or conservative treatment of degenerative medial meniscal tears: a prospective randomised trial. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2007;15:393–401.

31. Mangione KK, McCully K, Gloviak A, Lefebvre I, Hofmann M, Craik R. The effects of high-intensity and lowintensity cycle ergometry in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1999;54:M184-190.

Conflict of Interest: Nil	How to Cite this Article
Source of Support: Nil	Kumar KVA, Thavasianantham K, Pandian P, Pandian H, Pradeep E,
	Mohideen S. Functional and Radiological Outcome of Meniscal
Consent: The authors confirm that informed consent was	Repair and Conservative Management for Medial Meniscal Root Tear
obtained from the patient for publication of this case report	- A Prospective Comparative Study. Journal of Orthopaedic Case
	Reports 2024 December; 14(12): 223-228.

