

Beyond Pain: Redefining Rehabilitation in Complex Regional Pain Syndrome

Sagar Deshpande¹, Sachin Kale², Unnati Pandit³, Ashok Shyam^{4,5}

Learning Point of the Article:

Early, multidisciplinary, and function-oriented rehabilitation is central to CRPS management, aiming beyond pain relief to restore mobility, independence, and quality of life.

Introduction

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) remains one of the most challenging conditions encountered in orthopedic and Physical rehabilitation practice because of its heterogeneous clinical presentation and incompletely understood pathophysiology. Usually developing after trauma, fracture, or surgery, CRPS is characterized by pain that is disproportionate to the inciting event and is frequently accompanied by sensory abnormalities, edema, vasomotor instability, motor dysfunction, and trophic changes. These manifestations often result in significant impairment of upper or lower limb function, prolonged disability, psychological distress, and reduced quality of life.[1,2] Despite advances in pharmacological management and interventional pain procedures, long-term functional recovery remains inconsistent, emphasizing the indispensable role of rehabilitation.[2,3]

The growing understanding of CRPS has shifted clinical perspectives from a purely peripheral pain disorder to a complex neurobiological condition involving peripheral sensitization, central sensitization, cortical reorganization, autonomic dysregulation, and neuroimmune interactions.[2] This evolving knowledge has fundamentally transformed rehabilitation

practice. Rather than focusing solely on symptom reduction, modern rehabilitation seeks to normalize altered sensory-motor processing, restore cortical representation, improve movement confidence, and promote functional reintegration through principles of neuroplasticity.[3-10] Consequently, rehabilitation has evolved into a mechanism-based intervention that simultaneously addresses the biological, psychological, and functional consequences of CRPS.

Early rehabilitation remains one of the strongest predictors of favorable outcomes. Prolonged immobilization perpetuates pain, stiffness, muscle atrophy, and maladaptive cortical changes, whereas graded movement encourages tissue recovery, improves circulation, minimizes edema, and restores normal movement patterns.[2,3] Functional rehabilitation, therefore, emphasizes progressive loading, task-oriented training, and meaningful participation rather than isolated impairment-based exercises.[1]

Pain neuroscience education has emerged as an equally important component of rehabilitation by enabling patients to understand the mechanisms underlying persistent pain. Improved understanding reduces fear, catastrophizing, and movement avoidance, thereby facilitating active participation in

Author's Photo Gallery



Dr. Sagar Deshpande



Dr. Sachin Kale



Dr. Unnati Pandit



Dr. Ashok Shyam

Access this article online

Website:
www.jocr.co.in

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.13107/jocr.2026.v16.i11.8368>

¹Department of Neurophysiotherapy, School of Physiotherapy, Dr DY Patil (Deemed to be University), Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India,

²Department of Orthopedics, Dr DY Patil School of Medicine, Apollo Hospital, Fortis Hiranandani Hospital, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India,

³Dr DY Patil (deemed to be university) school of physiotherapy, Nerul Navi Mumbai, India,

⁴Indian Orthopaedic Research Group, Thane, Maharashtra, India,

⁵Department of Orthopaedics, Sancheti Institute for Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Address of Correspondence:

Dr. Sagar Deshpande,
Department of Neurophysiotherapy, School of Physiotherapy, Dr DY Patil (Deemed to be University), Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
Email: sagar.deshpande@dypatil.edu

Submitted: 18/08/2026; Review: 15/09/2026; Accepted: October 2026; Published: November 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13107/jocr.2026.v16.i11.8368>

© The Author(s). 2026 Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



rehabilitation.[6] Similarly, graded motor imagery and mirror therapy have become integral interventions because of their ability to reverse cortical reorganization and improve motor control while reducing pain.[4,5] These techniques highlight the capacity of rehabilitation to directly influence central nervous system plasticity rather than merely treating peripheral symptoms.

Recent technological innovations have further expanded rehabilitation opportunities. Virtual reality provides immersive environments that encourage pain-free movement and motor relearning,[7] while wearable sensors and artificial intelligence facilitate objective movement analysis and personalized exercise prescription. Neuromodulation techniques, including transcranial magnetic stimulation, transcranial direct current stimulation, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, are increasingly being incorporated into multidisciplinary rehabilitation programs to enhance cortical excitability and optimize functional recovery.[8,9] Functional electrical stimulation has also demonstrated promise in promoting muscle activation and reducing disuse-related impairments.

Nevertheless, rehabilitation should never be confined to physical interventions alone. Psychological factors, such as anxiety, depression, fear of movement, and pain catastrophizing frequently perpetuate disability even after tissue healing has occurred. A multidisciplinary rehabilitation program involving orthopedic surgeons, pain physicians, physical therapists, and psychologists, therefore, remains essential to address the complex biopsychosocial nature of CRPS.[2,3,6] Such collaboration enables individualized treatment planning with the common objective of restoring independence and improving participation in daily activities.

Although present evidence supports several rehabilitation strategies, important knowledge gaps remain. Variability in diagnostic criteria, intervention protocols, and outcome measures continues to limit high-quality evidence.[1,2] Future research should focus on standardized rehabilitation protocols, precision rehabilitation approaches, and integration of advanced technologies capable of delivering individualized treatment based on patient-specific neurophysiological characteristics. Continued investigation into the interaction between neuroplasticity, immune regulation, and behavioral adaptation may further refine rehabilitation strategies and improve long-term outcomes.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient has given the consent for his/ her images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient understands that his/ her names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of interest: Nil **Source of support:** None

Clinical Implications

For orthopedic surgeons and physical rehabilitation professionals, CRPS should be recognized as a condition in which restoration of function is as important as pain reduction. Early referral for rehabilitation following diagnosis can minimize chronic disability by preventing maladaptive movement patterns and promoting normal sensory-motor integration.[1,2] Rehabilitation programs should be individualized, function-oriented, and multidisciplinary, incorporating pain neuroscience education, graded motor imagery, progressive exercise, psychological support, and technology-assisted interventions whenever appropriate.[4,5,6,7] Close collaboration between orthopedic surgeons and Physical rehabilitation professionals is essential to optimize patient outcomes. Emerging technologies, including virtual reality, wearable sensors, artificial intelligence, and neuromodulation, should be viewed as complementary tools that enhance rather than replace evidence-based rehabilitation practice.[7,8]

Conclusion

Rehabilitation remains the cornerstone of contemporary CRPS management because it directly addresses the mechanisms responsible for persistent pain and functional disability. Advances in neuroplasticity research have transformed rehabilitation from conventional exercise therapy into a sophisticated, mechanism-based intervention capable of restoring sensory-motor integration and functional independence. While pharmacological and interventional treatments contribute to pain control, sustainable recovery depends on comprehensive rehabilitation delivered within a multidisciplinary framework. Future developments in precision rehabilitation, wearable technology, artificial intelligence, and neuromodulation are expected to further personalize treatment and improve outcomes. Ultimately, the success of CRPS management should be measured not only by pain reduction but by restoration of movement, participation, and quality of life.

References

1. Harden RN, Oaklander AL, Burton AW, Perez RS, Richardson K, Swan M, et al. Complex regional pain syndrome: Practical diagnostic and treatment guidelines, 4th edition. *Pain Med* 2013;14:180-229.
2. Birklein F, Schlereth T. Complex regional pain syndrome-significant progress in understanding. *Pain* 2015;156 Suppl 1:S94-103.
3. Smart KM, Wand BM, O'Connell NE. Physiotherapy for pain and disability in adults with complex regional pain syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;2:CD010853.
4. Moseley GL. Graded motor imagery for pathologic pain: A randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2006;67:2129-34.
5. Eraifej J, Clark W, France B, Desando S, Moore D. Effectiveness of mirror therapy in complex regional pain syndrome: A systematic review. *J Rehabil Med* 2017;49:565-74.
6. Louw A, Diener I, Butler DS, Puenteadura EJ. The effect of neuroscience education on pain, disability, anxiety, and stress in chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2011;27:367-85.
7. Mallari B, Spaeth EK, Goh H, Boyd BS. Virtual reality as an analgesic for acute and chronic pain in adults: A systematic review. *Pain Manag* 2019;9:497-505.
8. O'Connell NE, Wand BM, Marston L, Spencer S, DeSouza LH. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for chronic pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018;4:CD008208.
9. Thibaut A, Chatelle C, Ziegler E. Spasticity and pain modulation with transcranial direct current stimulation: A systematic review. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2017;40:625-34.
10. Stanton TR, Moseley GL, Wong AY, Kawchuk GN. Feeling stiffness in the back: A protective perceptual inference in chronic pain. *Trends Neurosci* 2017;40:387-9.

Conflict of Interest: Nil

Source of Support: Nil

Consent: The authors confirm that informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this article

How to Cite this Article

Deshpande S, Kale S, Pandit U, Shyam A. Beyond Pain: Redefining Rehabilitation in Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. *J Orthop Case Rep* 2026 November; 16(11): 07-09. doi: 10.13107/jocr.2026.v16.i11.8368.

